Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2014 Navy

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design

BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 [#]	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	105.690	16.837	24.609	20.501	-	20.501	16.049	15.848	8.008	8.100	Continuing	Continuing
2196: Design, Tools, Plans and Concepts	0.000	0.518	0.540	0.545	-	0.545	0.489	0.500	0.505	0.511	Continuing	Continuing
3161: NAVSEA Tech Authority	105.690	16.319	24.069	19.956	-	19.956	15.560	15.348	7.503	7.589	Continuing	Continuing

^{*}FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Explore alternative surface ship force structures, advanced surface ship and unmanned surface vehicles concepts, and the potential technologies for these force structures and advanced concepts in support of pre-acquisition mission needs analysis, mission area analysis, and planning. The objective is a more affordable, mission capable surface ship force including increased ship production capability; ships with reduce manning, reduced operating and support costs, and greater utilization of the latest technology. The program directly supports the Navy Shipbuilding Plan with state-of-the-art design tools and methods for surface ship force structure alternative studies, ship & unmanned vehicle concept studies, and the actual conduct of surface ship force structure alternative studies and advanced design concept studies for the ships that may become part of the shipbuilding plan.

Project 2196 - This project funds concept development engineering, mission effectiveness analysis, and other analyses for formulation of future surface ship force structure along with development of the tools to accomplish these efforts. Advanced ship concept studies, ship and ship systems technology assessments, and the development and upgrade of

ship concept design and engineering tools, methods, and criteria.

Project 3161 - This project funds a prioritized portfolio of time-sensitive initiatives supporting NAVSEA Technical Authority through integrated efforts in Cross Platform Systems Development (CPSD), furthering Sea Enterprise through the development of support elements for Technical Warrant Holders and meeting relevant needs of the warfare community. The areas of exploration for CPSD include surface ship concept advanced development, submarine concepts, next generation unmanned surface vehicle, high speed ships/crafts, tool integration and technical data exchange, embedded interoperability engineering, and mission capability systems engineering. The research products developed by this project directly support and influence both immediate fleet requirements and future acquisition programs by providing a range of technically acceptable alternatives and evaluation of emerging technologies.

In particular, tasks within this project continue to directly support interoperability testing and certification for Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) and other platforms in deploying battle groups, development and certification of Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) tools for surface combatants (CG 47, DDG 51, DDG 1000), American Bureau of Shipping (ABS) pilot program to determine engineering-based combatant service life values based on fatigue and other structural analyses, implementation of Component Commonality in current Navy ship acquisition to reduce total ownership and maintenance costs, Total Ownership Cost (TOC) pilot programs, and development of specifications and processes to reduce production costs of platforms.

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design

^{##} The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2014 Navy

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design

BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

Tasks within this project continue to directly support the Test and Evaluation Master Plan (TEMP) execution for multiple ship classes including, LCS, JHSV, and DDG 1000 reducing Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E) costs, furthered validation of hydrodynamic simulation tool supporting DDG 1000 Hull Form Plan (HFP), have increased technology readiness level for aluminum combatants, developed tools to execute the CG 47 Cracking Task Force recommendations, increased situational awareness for deploying strike groups, and conducted feasibility studies of future Railgun capabilities. This project supports NAVSEA's core mission and enhances its ability to conduct independent technical authority which allows for improved performance and reduced cost of current and future naval platforms.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO	FY 2014 Total
Previous President's Budget	14.308	24.609	20.833	-	20.833
Current President's Budget	16.837	24.609	20.501	-	20.501
Total Adjustments	2.529	0.000	-0.332	-	-0.332
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	-	-			
 Reprogrammings 	2.806	0.000			
 SBIR/STTR Transfer 	-0.277	0.000			
 Program Adjustments 	0.000	0.000	-0.107	-	-0.107
 Rate/Misc Adjustments 	0.000	0.000	-0.225	-	-0.225

Change Summary Explanation

Added funds in FY 12 for DDG 1000 hull form plan.

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design

Navy

Page 2 of 16

EXHIBIT K-2A, KDT&E Project Ju	istilication.	PD 2014 N	iavy							DATE. Apr	11 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT	TIVITY				R-1 ITEM	NOMENCL	ATURE		PROJECT			
	319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy							PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced 219				
BA 4: Advanced Component Deve	elopment &	Prototypes	(ACD&P)		Design							
COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 [#]	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
2196: Design, Tools, Plans and Concepts	0.000	0.518	0.540	0.545	-	0.545	0.489	0.500	0.505	0.511	Continuing	Continuing

0

0

Exhibit D 24 DDT8 E Project Justification: DR 2014 Navy

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Quantity of RDT&E Articles

This project provides the foundation for an affordable and mission capable surface ship force. It also supports the next step in the development of a transformed naval force by accomplishing the pre-milestone A (especially pre-concept decision) efforts for all potential surface ships and craft. These efforts are the required first step in the integration of total ship systems, including combat systems, weapons systems and Hull, Mechanical and Electrical (HM&E) systems. Inadequate early planning and ship concept formulation can result in down-stream design, construction and operational problems. A more subtle and severely negative impact of neglecting this early effort is that the "best" concepts and technologies may never even be considered and our greatest potential ship design advances never realized. Designs and technologies must meet the threat. This project supports this requirement.

This project funds concept development engineering, mission effectiveness analysis, and other analyses for formulation of future surface ship force structure along with development of the tools to accomplish these efforts. Advanced ship concept studies, ship and ship systems technology assessments, and the development and upgrade of ship concept design and engineering tools, methods, and criteria are also funded in this project.

This project accomplishes the following: (1) Develops alternative surface ship force structure concepts including the ships and unmanned vehicles; (2) Evaluates the mission capability effectiveness and costs for these alternative surface fleet architectures; (3) Performs fleet war fighting/mission effectiveness assessment studies; (4) Identifies future surface ship requirements and characteristics necessary to meet future threats and support mission needs; (5) Investigates new affordable ship concepts and evaluates technologies necessary to support these concepts; (6) Provides design methods and automated design tools to develop and evaluate ship concepts; and (7) Supports development of Initial Capabilities Documents (ICD) and analogous early requirements documents for future ships. These efforts are done to support mission analysis; mission needs development and technology assessment in support of future fleet concepts and potential ship acquisition programs. These efforts are fundamental to the Navy's formulation of the future fleet.

Supports concept exploration and mission needs assessment for potential future ship acquisition programs, however, these are not direct efforts for specific, authorized shipbuilding programs. This project supports and maintains this country's naval ship design and engineering capabilities in the area of very early stage (Concept Design) design tools, criteria, and methods.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Title: Ship Concepts and Mission Need Analysis	0.447	0.465	0.471

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design

UNCLASSIFIED Page 3 of 16

R-1 Line #44

0

0

DATE: April 2013

0

[#] FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

^{##} The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

	UNCLASSIFIED				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Navy			DATE: A	pril 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design	PROJ 2196:	ECT Design, Tools	, Plans and (Concepts
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantiti	ies in Each)		FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
	Α	rticles:	0	0	0
Description: Develop ship concepts and perform analysis for potential shi plan.	ips and Force Architecture 5-10 years out in shipl	ouilding			
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Continuation of concept designs for small and medium surface combatants weapon topics (high energy, etc), also to include energy and cost reducing	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-			
FY 2013 Plans: Continuation of concept designs for small and medium surface combatants capable of operating in required environments. These efforts will enable the increased reliable, efficient, long range, high speed and optimized payload	he design of future affordable surface combatants				
FY 2014 Plans: Continuation of concept designs for small and medium surface combatants capable of operating in required environments. These efforts will enable the increased reliable, efficient, long range, high speed and optimized payload	he design of future affordable surface combatants				
Title: Total Ship Technology Assessment (TSTA)	A	rticles:	0.071	0.075 0	0.074 0
Description: Analyze the benefits and impacts of new ship, Hull, Mechanic warfare systems.	ical & Electrical (HM&E) concepts, technologies a	ind			
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Continuation of expanded TSTA methodology with ASW, ASUW products Analysis, also to include energy and cost-reducing technologies and conce	·	eeds			
FY 2013 Plans: Continuation of FY12 TSTA tasks as well as integration of design of an advas reduced manning capabilities.	vanced total platform energy monitoring system a	as well			
FY 2014 Plans: Commence development on small scale tools to automate challenging and reports, liquid loading vs. trim scenarios) and to add capability to existing shouse technical capability of the Navy in conducting pre-AoA studies for su	software tools. The products would be to aid in th				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	btotals	0.518	0.540	0.545

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design Navy

UNCLASSIFIED

Page 4 of 16 R-1 Line #44

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Navy	DATE: April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy	PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced	2196: Design, Tools, Plans and Concepts
BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	Design	

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

			FY 2014	FY 2014	FY 2014					Cost To	
Line Item	FY 2012	FY 2013	Base	000	Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Complete	Total Cost
• RDTEN/0204202N: <i>DDG-1000</i>	249.780	124.655	187.910		187.910	185.793	117.850	66.506	38.255	Continuing	Continuing
RDTEN/0603512N: Carrier	61.909	106.876	82.926		82.926	49.195	50.881	47.344	46.022	Continuing	Continuing
Systems Development											
• RDTEN/0603564N: Ship	17.611	13.710	27.052		27.052	46.878	58.492	37.062	15.004	Continuing	Continuing
Preliminary Design/Feasibility											
RDTEN/0604567N: Ship Contract	121.008	196.737	155.254		155.254	123.970	63.337	54.620	50.031	Continuing	Continuing
Design/Live Fire T&E											
• RDTEN/0603582N: Combat	48.999	56.551	36.570		36.570	41.949	44.351	48.417	49.760	Continuing	Continuing
System Integration											

Remarks

D. Acquisition Strategy

This is a non acquisition program that develops, evaluates, and validates early stages of total ship concepts and technologies in support of SCN planning and potential future ship acquisition programs. This program also supports development, demonstration, evaluation, and validation of engineering tools, methods, and criteria for those concept designs and assessments.

E. Performance Metrics

None

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design Navy

Page 5 of 16

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2014 Navy

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PROJECT

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy

BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced 2196: Des

Design

2196: Design, Tools, Plans and Concepts

Product Developmen	ıt (\$ in Mi	illions)		FY 2	2012	FY 2	2013	FY 2 Ba		FY 2 OC		FY 2014 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Systems Engineering	C/CPFF	Various Contractors:Various	0.000	0.234	Apr 2012	0.166	Apr 2013	0.153	Apr 2014	-		0.153	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Systems Engineering	WR	NSWC:Various	0.000	0.168	Jan 2012	0.196	Jan 2013	0.189	Jan 2014	-		0.189	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Engineering Development	C/CPFF	Various Contractors:Various	0.000	0.000		0.178	Oct 2012	0.129	Apr 2014	-		0.129	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Engineering Development	WR	NSWC:Various	0.000	0.062	Jan 2012	0.000		0.074	Jan 2014	-		0.074	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Demonstration & Evaluation	C/CPFF	Various Contractors:Various	0.000	0.029	Apr 2012	0.000		0.000		-		0.000	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Test & Evaluation	C/CPFF	Various Contractors:Various	0.000	0.020	Apr 2012	0.000		0.000		-		0.000	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	0.000	0.513		0.540		0.545		0.000		0.545			

Management Service	s (\$ in M	illions)		FY 2	2012	FY 2	013	FY 2 Ba		FY 2		FY 2014 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Travel	Allot	NAVSEA HQ:Washington, DC	0.000	0.005	Oct 2012	0.000		0.000		-		0.000	0.000	0.005	
		Subtotal	0.000	0.005		0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000	0.000	0.005	

									Target
	All Prior			FY 2014	FY 2014	FY 2014	Cost To	Total	Value of
	Years	FY 2012	FY 2013	Base	OCO	Total	Complete	Cost	Contract
Project Cost Totals	0.000	0.518	0.540	0.545	0.000	0.545			

Remarks

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design

Navy

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy
BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

COST (\$ in Millions)

AII Prior Years FY 2012 FY 2013*

PATE: April 2013

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE
PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced
Design

FY 2014 FY 2014 FY 2014
Base OCO ## Total FY 2015 FY 2016 FY 2017 FY 2018 Complete Cost

COST (\$ in Millions)	All Prior Years	FY 2012	FY 2013 [#]	FY 2014 Base	FY 2014 OCO ##	FY 2014 Total	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
3161: NAVSEA Tech Authority	105.690	16.319	24.069	19.956	-	19.956	15.560	15.348	7.503	7.589	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		

^{*}FY 2013 Program is from the FY 2013 President's Budget, submitted February 2012

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This project has been established to support NAVSEA Technical Authority through coordinated, collaborative, cross-platform systems development resulting in advanced capabilities across business lines through development adaptation and extension of processes, procedures, and tools necessary to develop and explore alternative surface ship and submarine force structures; advanced submarine, surface ship and unmanned surface vehicle concepts; interoperability; and development of systems level engineering criteria and options to support these force structures and advanced concepts as part of pre-acquisition mission needs analysis, mission area analysis, SCN, and R&D planning. The objective is the coordination of ongoing early-stage concept design and development efforts for cross-platform applicability to result in a more affordable, mission-capable, and interoperable surface ship and submarine forces including ships and submarines with reduced manning, increased ability to produce, reduced operating and support costs, and greater utilization of the latest technology.

NAVSEA Tech Authority efforts under Project 3161, known as the Cross Platform Systems Development (CPSD) Program enhance ongoing efforts within Project 2196 and transition directly to early-stage ship design for Ship and Submarine Preliminary Design and Feasibility Studies and other Program Executive Office (PEO) ship and submarine design programs. While these efforts support concept exploration and mission needs assessment for potential future ship and submarine acquisition programs, they are not direct efforts for specific, authorized shipbuilding programs. This project is the only R&D effort (Government or commercial) that provides a coordinated, collaborative approach to the development of cross-platform naval ship, submarine, and weapon system design and engineering capabilities in the areas of design tools, criteria, and methods. This project also provides innovative solutions for current Fleet issues involving Technical Authority, such as current interoperability issues with new systems or platforms.

Naval Ship System Engineering Tech Authority recapitalization and product development consolidates platform advanced concept development and design tool development in CPSD 1.0 (Platform Concept Advanced Development) and CPSD 2.0 (Platform Design and Certification Tools/Engineering and Tech Data Exchange Development); and aligned standards and requirements development for modularity and system / component commonality within CPSD 3.0 (Ship Systems Engineering/Modular Ship Systems Development). Program product areas support: platform-centric force architecture and concept development and tools (CPSD 1.0, CPSD 2.0), engineering products and system development (CPSD 3.0, CPSD 5.0), and system interoperability and mission capability for delivering ships and submarines (CPSDs 6.0, 8.0, 9.0). CPSD develops and transitions products to Technical Warrant Holder (TWH) community and develop prioritized plans and activities for future products from emerging cross platform technical requirements and associated capabilities.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Title: Platform Concept Advanced Development (CPSD 1.0)	0.691	1.616	1.572

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design

Page 7 of 16

^{##} The FY 2014 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date

PROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy At : Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P) Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each) Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each) Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each) Articles: 1		ONCLASSII ILD				
219: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy A 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P) PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design FY 2012 Articles: Articles: O O Rescription: This effort directly supports the Navy's ability to understand risk and associated cost of surface and submarine arfare assets; Pre-Milestone A ship, craft, and unmanned surface vehicle (USV) design and analysis. Y 2012 Accomplishments: Ontinued to identify areas of improvement for the processes of identifying hull selection, general arrangement, machinery rrangement, structural density, access and other decisions and best practices in early stage design that decrease the overall stall ownership costs associated with US Navy warships, supporting the Navy's goal of an affordable future fleet. Y 2013 Plans: evelop the NAVSEA ship concept development processes for supporting the Long Range Shipbuilding Strategy (LRSS), apablity Based Analyses (CBAs), Analyses of Alternatives (AoAs), and new technology impact assessment. It will develop esign space exploration methods that leverage previous Navy design tool investments by employing behavior models of higher delity, but more time consuming techniques. This will allow more comprehensive trade studies in support of Capabilities and Analyses (CBAs), Analyses of Alternatives (AoAs), inform ship design policy and new technology impact assessment. It will develop esign space exploration methods that leverage previous Navy design tool investments by employing ehavior models of higher fidelity, but more time consuming techniques. This will allow much more comprehensive trade studies in upport of Capabilities and Analyses (CBAs), Analyses of Alternatives. Continue next generation surface ship, submarine and unmanned vehicle concept exploration. Wite: Platform Design and Certification Tools/Engineering and Tech Data Exchange (CPSD 2.0) Articles: O 0 0.907 3.678	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Navy			DATE: A	pril 2013	
escription: This effort directly supports the Navy's ability to understand risk and associated cost of surface and submarine arrafare assets; Pre-Milestone A ship, craft, and unmanned surface vehicle (USV) design and analysis. Y 2012 Accomplishments: ontinued to identify areas of improvement for the processes of identifying hull selection, general arrangement, machinery rrangement, structural density, access and other decisions and best practices in early stage design that decrease the overall total ownership costs associated with US Navy warships, supporting the Navy's goal of an affordable future fleet. Y 2013 Plans: evelop the NAVSEA ship concept development processes for supporting the Long Range Shipbuilding Strategy (LRSS), apability Based Analyses (CBAs), Analyses of Alternatives (AoAs), and new technology impact assessment. It will develop esign space exploration methods that leverage previous Navy design tool investments by employing behavior models of higher delity, but more time consuming techniques. This will allow much more comprehensive trade studies in support of Capabilities assessments and Analyses of Alternatives. Continue next generation surface ship, submarine and unmanned vehicle oncept exploration. Y 2014 Plans: ontinue to develop the NAVSEA ship concept development processes for supporting the Long Range Shipbuilding Strategy (Parasiontinue to develop the NAVSEA ship concept development processes for supporting the Long Range Shipbuilding Strategy (Parasiontinue to develop the NAVSEA ship concept development processes for supporting the Long Range Shipbuilding Strategy (Parasiontinue to develop the NAVSEA ship concept to exploration methods that leverage previous Navy design tool investments by employing enhanced to the processes of Alternatives (Parasion processes of Alternatives (Parasion processes and the processes of Alternatives (Parasion processes and the processes of Alternatives (Parasion processes of Alternatives (Parasion processes of Alternatives (Parasion processes of Alter	APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced			h Authority	
rescription: This effort directly supports the Navy's ability to understand risk and associated cost of surface and submarine arfare assets; Pre-Milestone A ship, craft, and unmanned surface vehicle (USV) design and analysis. Y 2012 Accomplishments: ontinued to identify areas of improvement for the processes of identifying hull selection, general arrangement, machinery rrangement, structural density, access and other decisions and best practices in early stage design that decrease the overall stall ownership costs associated with US Navy warships, supporting the Navy's goal of an affordable future fleet. Y 2013 Plans: vevelop the NAVSEA ship concept development processes for supporting the Long Range Shipbuilding Strategy (LRSS), apability Based Analyses (CBAs), Analyses of Alternatives (AoAs), and new technology impact assessment. It will develop seign space exploration methods that leverage previous Navy design tool investments by employing behavior models of higher delity, but more time consuming techniques. This will allow much more comprehensive trade studies in support of Capabilities assed Assessments and Analyses of Alternatives. Continue next generation surface ship, submarine and unmanned vehicle oncept exploration. Y 2014 Plans: ontinue to develop the NAVSEA ship concept development processes for supporting the Long Range Shipbuilding Strategy RSS), Capability Based Analyses (CBAs), Analyses of Alternatives (AoAs), inform ship design policy and new technology impact sessesment. It will develop design space exploration methods that leverage previous Navy design tool investments by employing enhavior models of higher fidelity, but more time consuming techniques. This will allow much more comprehensive trade studies in upport of Capabilities Based Assessments and Analyses of Alternatives. Continue next generation surface ship, submarine and minanned vehicle concept exploration. Interview of Capabilities Based Assessments and Analyses of Alternatives. Continue next generation surface ship, submarine	B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quan	itities in Each)	ſ	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
rarfare assets; Pre-Milestone A ship, craft, and unmanned surface vehicle (USV) design and analysis. Y 2012 Accomplishments: ontinued to identify areas of improvement for the processes of identifying hull selection, general arrangement, machinery rrangement, structural density, access and other decisions and best practices in early stage design that decrease the overall stal ownership costs associated with US Navy warships, supporting the Navy's goal of an affordable future fleet. Y 2013 Plans: tevelop the NAVSEA ship concept development processes for supporting the Long Range Shipbuilding Strategy (LRSS), apability Based Analyses (CBAs), Analyses of Alternatives (AoAs), and new technology impact assessment. It will develop esign space exploration methods that leverage previous Navy design tool investments by employing behavior models of higher delity, but more time consuming techniques. This will allow much more comprehensive trade studies in support of Capabilities ased Assessments and Analyses of Alternatives (AoAs), inform ship design policy and new technology impact sessesment. It will develop design space exploration methods that leverage previous Navy design tool investments by employing ehavior models of higher fidelity, but more time consuming techniques. This will allow much more comprehensive trade studies in upport of Capabilities Based Assessments and Analyses of Alternatives (AoAs), inform ship design policy and new technology impact sessesment. It will develop design space exploration methods that leverage previous Navy design tool investments by employing ehavior models of higher fidelity, but more time consuming techniques. This will allow much more comprehensive trade studies in upport of Capabilities Based Assessments and Analyses of Alternatives. Continue next generation surface ship, submarine and mmanned vehicle concept exploration. Itie: Platform Design and Certification Tools/Engineering and Tech Data Exchange (CPSD 2.0) Articles: 0 0 0 0 10.907 Articles: 0 0 0 0 10.907 10.90		A	rticles:	0	0	(
continued to identify areas of improvement for the processes of identifying hull selection, general arrangement, machinery rrangement, structural density, access and other decisions and best practices in early stage design that decrease the overall stal ownership costs associated with US Navy warships, supporting the Navy's goal of an affordable future fleet. Y 2013 Plans: evelop the NAVSEA ship concept development processes for supporting the Long Range Shipbuilding Strategy (LRSS), apability Based Analyses (CBAs), Analyses of Alternatives (AoAs), and new technology impact assessment. It will develop esign space exploration methods that leverage previous Navy design tool investments by employing behavior models of higher delity, but more time consuming techniques. This will allow much more comprehensive trade studies in support of Capabilities assed Assessments and Analyses of Alternatives. Continue next generation surface ship, submarine and unmanned vehicle oncept exploration. Y 2014 Plans: ontinue to develop the NAVSEA ship concept development processes for supporting the Long Range Shipbuilding Strategy .RSS), Capability Based Analyses (CBAs), Analyses of Alternatives (AoAs), inform ship design policy and new technology impact sessesment. It will develop design space exploration methods that leverage previous Navy design tool investments by employing ehavior models of higher fidelity, but more time consuming techniques. This will allow much more comprehensive trade studies in upport of Capabilities Based Assessments and Analyses of Alternatives. Continue next generation surface ship, submarine and unmanned vehicle concept exploration. interest Platform Design and Certification Tools/Engineering and Tech Data Exchange (CPSD 2.0) Articles: O Marticles: O Marticles: O Marticles: O Marticles: O Marticles: Articles: O Marticles: O Marticles: O Marticles: Articles: O Marticles: O Mart	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		e			
levelop the NAVSEA ship concept development processes for supporting the Long Range Shipbuilding Strategy (LRSS), apability Based Analyses (CBAs), Analyses of Alternatives (AoAs), and new technology impact assessment. It will develop esign space exploration methods that leverage previous Navy design tool investments by employing behavior models of higher delity, but more time consuming techniques. This will allow much more comprehensive trade studies in support of Capabilities ased Assessments and Analyses of Alternatives. Continue next generation surface ship, submarine and unmanned vehicle concept exploration. Y 2014 Plans: Tontinue to develop the NAVSEA ship concept development processes for supporting the Long Range Shipbuilding Strategy (RSS), Capability Based Analyses (CBAs), Analyses of Alternatives (AoAs), inform ship design policy and new technology impact sessesment. It will develop design space exploration methods that leverage previous Navy design tool investments by employing ehavior models of higher fidelity, but more time consuming techniques. This will allow much more comprehensive trade studies in upport of Capabilities Based Assessments and Analyses of Alternatives. Continue next generation surface ship, submarine and numanned vehicle concept exploration. Title: Platform Design and Certification Tools/Engineering and Tech Data Exchange (CPSD 2.0) Articles: 0 0.907 3.678 Articles: 0 0.907 3.678 Articles: 0 0.907 3.678 Articles: 0 0.907 3.678 Articles: 1 0 0.907 0.907 3 0.907 0.907 3 0.907 3 0.907 3 0.907 3 0.907 4 0.	arrangement, structural density, access and other decisions and best pr	ractices in early stage design that decrease the ove	rall			
continue to develop the NAVSEA ship concept development processes for supporting the Long Range Shipbuilding Strategy LRSS), Capability Based Analyses (CBAs), Analyses of Alternatives (AoAs), inform ship design policy and new technology impact sesessment. It will develop design space exploration methods that leverage previous Navy design tool investments by employing ehavior models of higher fidelity, but more time consuming techniques. This will allow much more comprehensive trade studies in upport of Capabilities Based Assessments and Analyses of Alternatives. Continue next generation surface ship, submarine and numanned vehicle concept exploration. It will develop design space exploration methods that leverage previous Navy design tool investments by employing ehavior models of higher fidelity, but more time consuming techniques. This will allow much more comprehensive trade studies in upport of Capabilities Based Assessments and Analyses of Alternatives. Continue next generation surface ship, submarine and numanned vehicle concept exploration. Articles: 0 0.907 Articles:	Capability Based Analyses (CBAs), Analyses of Alternatives (AoAs), and design space exploration methods that leverage previous Navy design to fidelity, but more time consuming techniques. This will allow much more	d new technology impact assessment. It will develo sool investments by employing behavior models of he comprehensive trade studies in support of Capabil	igher ities			
Articles: 0 0 lescription: This effort supports the development of validation tools to certify the safety and mission capability of platform oncepts and subsequently ships and submarines; establishes the integrated NAVSEA suite to support the execution of NAVSEA ech Authority. This effort advances platform design methods, design validation tools, cost tools, manpower tools, and tools to aid a rapid total platform definition.	(LRSS), Capability Based Analyses (CBAs), Analyses of Alternatives (A assessment. It will develop design space exploration methods that lever behavior models of higher fidelity, but more time consuming techniques.	noAs), inform ship design policy and new technology rage previous Navy design tool investments by emp . This will allow much more comprehensive trade st	impact loying udies in			
ech Authority. This effort advances platform design methods, design validation tools, cost tools, manpower tools, and tools to aid rapid total platform definition.	Title: Platform Design and Certification Tools/Engineering and Tech Da	. ,	rticles:		3.678 0	2.626
Y 2012 Accomplishments:	concepts and subsequently ships and submarines; establishes the integ	grated NAVSEA suite to support the execution of NA				
	FY 2012 Accomplishments:					
				·		

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 8 of 16

	UNCLASSIFIED				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Navy			DATE:	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design	PROJEC 3161: <i>NA</i>		h Authority	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quanti	ities in Each)	F	Y 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Continued the Advanced Ship Synthesis and Evaluation Tool (ASSET) sy architecture to accommodate insertion of new modules and updating exis ship technology. Continued concept design tool development - implement	ting needed for advanced ship concepts and emer	ging			
FY 2013 Plans: Transition the tool development to aide in early stage concept design incl (ASSET) and Leading Edge Architecture for Prototyping Systems (LEAPS and execution. Continue to develop tools that allow for reliable, efficient, I capabilities. Continue to develop early stage ship design tools supporting of performance based cost models and manpower assessments tools.	S) to the CONFORM line (PE 0605152N) for fundiong range, high speed platforms with optimized pa	ng iyload			
FY 2014 Plans: Continue to develop tools that allow for reliable, efficient, long range, high Continue to develop early stage ship design tools supporting total owners performance based cost models and manpower assessments tools.		es.			
Title: Ship Systems Engineering /Modular Ship Systems Development (C		rticles:	1.554	2.782	2.199 0
Description: This effort supports Ship system development with a focus technology integration, and design standards for new ship classes for preprogram of record (PoR) ship and submarine development.	on technology transition, modularity, ship system				-
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Initiated data population interface for previously developed design tools in Evaluating Shipboard Technologies (SEAQUEST)/LEAPS interface enable and applications together in a simulation process flow. Continued analysical aluminum structure after a cracking incident to determine inspection period LCS and JHSV platforms. Initiated development of the Deck Simulating Structure.	ling the combination of multiple cross-disciplinary r is of fracture mechanics assessment for failure of adicity and temporary repair techniques for in-servi-	nodels			
FY 2013 Plans: Improve processes for technology upgrades during midlife overhauls that long term strategic use of platform and system modularity to enable an af		ow for			
FY 2014 Plans: Continue to improve processes for technology upgrades during midlife ov modernization. Allow for long term strategic use of platform and system r		ntinued			

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 9 of 16

	UNCLASSIFIED				
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Navy			DATE: A	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design	PROJE 3161: <i>N</i>	CT IAVSEA Tec	h Authority	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quanti	ities in Each)		FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
analysis of fracture mechanics assessment for failure of aluminum structuperiodicity and temporary repair techniques for in-service CG, DDG LCS,		n			
Title: High Speed Ships and Craft Engineering (CPSD 5.0)	A	rticles:	12.592 0	11.052 0	8.785 0
Description: This effort supports the development of concepts for future mission effectiveness in mobility, survivability, and warfare mission areas					
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Continued the development of an advanced hydrodynamic simulation too conditions required to define a Safe Operating Envelope (SOE). The efformill be verified and validated through correlation with data obtained from trails. The Hull Form Plan (HFP) Integrated Product Team (IPT) initiated prescribed set of model tests and extensive analyses to be developed over surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the developed of the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the developed of the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the developed of the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the developed of the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Compared to the surface ship Safe Operating Env	ort addresses this need for an analytic approach, wanalytic tests, sub-scale trials, and ultimately full so the development of analytical tools, completed a er the next several years to support development of the next several years to support the next several years the next several years to support the next several years to support the next several years to support the next years to support the next several years to support the next years the next years to support the next years years years years	cale			
FY 2013 Plans: Begin development of improved platform stealth and survivability. Development, design, acquisition, R&D testing and acceptance of a future Continue development of analytical tools, complete a prescribed set of my years to support development of surface ship Safe Operating Envelope (SThe analytical methods will include development of a simulation tool requivithin ability to test. The initial HW Guidance will develop from model scar development of the Heavy Weather Guidance and SOE, the Hull Form Planip and associated training guidance for ships crew. This includes the delintegration (HSI), Human Computer Interface (HCI), and training.	e modular mission ice capable surface combatant. odel tests and extensive analyses over the next se SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) Guidance product lired to characterize ship motions in environments alle testing and will not be certified. In addition to the lan will support the integration of the capability on the	s. not e he			
FY 2014 Plans: Continue the development of improved platform stealth and survivability. supporting the development, design, acquisition, R&D testing and accept combatant. Continue development of analytical tools, complete a prescrithe next several years to support development of surface ship Safe Opera Guidance products. The analytical methods will include development of a in environments not within ability to test. The initial HW Guidance will dev In addition to the development of the Heavy Weather Guidance and SOE	ance of a future modular mission ice capable surfated set of model tests and extensive analyses over ating Envelope (SOE) and Heavy Weather (HW) a simulation tool required to characterize ship motion from model scale testing and will not be certifated.	er ons ied.			

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 10 of 16

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Navy		DATE:	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)		COJECT 61: NAVSEA Ted	h Authority	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quant	ities in Each)	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
capability on the ship and associated training guidance for ships crew. The Human System Integration (HSI), Human Computer Interface (HCI), and				
Title: Alternative Power Systems Engineering (CPSD 6.0)	Articl	0.196 es: 0	1.312 0	1.214 (
Description: This effort investigates concepts for ships and craft with alte effectiveness in mobility, survivability, and warfare mission areas.	ernative power/propulsion systems evaluating			
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Continue investigation of alternative power/propulsion systems evaluating mission areas. Begin targeted implementation of weapon systems roadn and moment modeling needed for Safe Operating Envelope ship dynamic capabilities from design through certification.	nap. Support modeling of propulsor out of plane force			
FY 2013 Plans: Begin volumetric vulnerability analysis as a part of the Alternate Propulsion of ship damage associated with specified weapons effects supporting each		s		
FY 2014 Plans: Continue volumetric vulnerability analysis as a part of the Alternate Prope estimates of ship damage associated with specified weapons effects sup Evaluation of pod propulsor for future ship concept design. Develop and primary mission systems.	porting early stage design decisions for ship vulnerabili			
Title: Embedded Interoperability (I/O) Engineering (CPSD 8.0)	Articl	0.000	1.745 0	1.728
Description: This effort establishes and executes a dedicated process for systems early in the acquisition cycle, prior to certification. Embedded I/O degrade the ultimately fielded war fighting capability. Focus on emerging and 2.	O ensures that fewer mission critical system failures			
FY 2013 Plans: Focus on development of high performance, low cost communication solinteroperability.	utions for improved information dominance and			

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 11 of 16

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just											
	tification: PB 2	014 Navy							DATE: A	April 2013	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 1319: Research, Development, Test BA 4: Advanced Component Develo	t & Evaluation,	•	&P)			CLATURE p Concept A	dvanced	PROJE 3161: Λ	CT AVSEA Tec	h Authority	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	ograms (\$ in M	illions, Art	cle Quantit	ies in Each))				FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Continue the focus on development and interoperability.	of high perforn	nance, low	cost commu	nication solu	itions for imp	proved inform	ation domina	ance			
Title: Mission Capability Systems E	ingineering (CP	SD 9.0)					Aı	ticles:	0.379 0	1.884 0	1.832 (
Description: This effort supports the Systems (SoS) and Family of Systemeduced personnel costs.											
FY 2012 Accomplishments: Developed and established the star Automated Software Test and the T					d deploy Op	en Architectu	re as well as				
FY 2013 Plans: Create design engineering standard into design and control of autonomous improved design for lightweight bod	ous and robotic	systems. I									
	iy armor ana cq	dipinent.									
FY 2014 Plans: Continue the creation of design eng human element into design and con advancement of materials and impragainst high speed moving targets.	ineering standa atrol of autonom oved design for	ards incorpo ous and ro	botic system body armor	s. Continue and equipm	to improve nent. Develo	standards th	at allow for th	ie			
FY 2014 Plans: Continue the creation of design eng human element into design and cor advancement of materials and impr	ineering standa atrol of autonom oved design for	ards incorpo ous and ro	botic system body armor	s. Continue and equipmes application	to improve nent. Develons.	standards th	at allow for th	thms	16.319	24.069	19.956
FY 2014 Plans: Continue the creation of design eng human element into design and cor advancement of materials and impr	nineering standa ntrol of autonom oved design for Evaluate railgu	ards incorpo ous and ro lightweight un technolo	botic system body armor	s. Continue and equipmes application	to improve nent. Develons.	standards th	at allow for the	thms	16.319	24.069 Cost To	

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 12 of 16

R-1 Line #44

Navy

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2014 Navy		DATE: April 2013
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy	PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced	3161: NAVSEA Tech Authority
BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	Design	

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

		•	FY 2014	FY 2014	FY 2014					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u>	FY 2012	FY 2013	Base	OCO	<u>Total</u>	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Complete	Total Cost
RDTEN/0604567N: Ship Contract	121.008	196.737	155.254		155.254	123.970	63.337	54.620	50.031	Continuing	Continuing
Design/Live Fire T&E										_	
• RDTEN/0603582N: Combat	48.999	56.551	36.570		36.570	41.949	44.351	48.417	49.760	Continuing	Continuing
System Integration										_	

Remarks

Navy

D. Acquisition Strategy

This is a non acquisition program that develops, evaluates, and validates early stages of total ship concepts and technologies in support of SCN planning and potential future ship and submarine acquisition programs. This program also supports development, demonstration, evaluation, and validation of engineering tools, methods, and criteria for those concept designs and assessments. This program supports the NAVSEA Technical Warrant Holders by providing validated engineering tools, methods, and criteria for ship, submarine and weapon system concept designs and assessments while fostering collaboration and coordination of efforts resulting in more effective use of funding.

E. Performance Metrics

Quarterly Program Reviews

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design

Page 13 of 16

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Project Cost Analysis: PB 2014 Navy

DATE: April 2013

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy

BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE

PROJECT

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced

3161: NAVSEA Tech Authority

Design

Product Developmen	nt (\$ in Mi	illions)		FY 2	2012	FY 2	2013		2014 ise	FY 2	2014 CO	FY 2014 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Systems Engineering	C/CPFF	Various Contractors:Various	13.701	0.360	Apr 2012	1.605	Apr 2013	1.330	Apr 2014	-		1.330	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Systems Engineering	WR	NSWC, NUWC, CDSA:Various	37.491	8.121	Jan 2012	7.178	May 2013	5.951	May 2014	-		5.951	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Engineering Development	C/CPFF	DRS:Stevensville, MD	0.000	0.742	Mar 2012	0.346	Dec 2012	0.287	Dec 2013	-		0.287	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Engineering Development	WR	NSWC, NUWC:Various	36.753	2.105	Mar 2012	7.215	Mar 2013	5.982	Mar 2014	-		5.982	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Demonstration & Evaluation	WR	NSWC:Various	15.178	0.925	Feb 2012	2.018	Feb 2013	1.673	Feb 2014	-		1.673	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Demonstration & Evaluation	WR	SPAWAR:Various	1.922	0.000	Mar 2012	0.090	Mar 2013	0.075	Mar 2014	-		0.075	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
Test and Evaluation	WR	NSWC:Various	0.000	3.966	Apr 2012	5.517	Dec 2012	4.558	Dec 2013	-		4.558	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
		Subtotal	105.045	16.219		23.969		19.856		0.000		19.856			

Management Service	es (\$ in M	illions)		FY 2	2012	FY :	2013		2014 ise	FY 2		FY 2014 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	All Prior Years	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
PM/Travel	Allot	NAVSEA HQ:Washington, DC	0.500	0.100	Sep 2012	0.100	Sep 2013	0.100	Sep 2014	-		0.100	Continuing	Continuing	Continuing
DAWDF	Various	Not Specified:Not Specified	0.145	0.000		0.000		0.000		-		0.000	0.000	0.145	
		Subtotal	0.645	0.100		0.100		0.100		0.000		0.100			

	oubtota.	0.0.0	0.100		0.100		000		0.000		000			
														Target
		All Prior					FY 2	2014	FY 2	2014	FY 2014	Cost To	Total	Value of
		Years	FY 2	2012	FY 2	013	Ва	se	00	CO	Total	Complete	Cost	Contract
	Project Cost Totals	105.690	16.319		24.069		19.956		0.000		19.956			

Remarks

Award Dates reflect estimated completion of incremental funding execution.

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 14 of 16

Exhibit R-4, RDT&E Schedule Pro	file: PB 2014 Navy	/			DATE	: April 2013
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 1319: Research, Development, Test BA 4: Advanced Component Develo	t & Evaluation, Nav		R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATUR PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Design		PROJECT 3161: NAVSEA Te	ech Authority
Proj 3161	FY 2012 1Q 2Q 3Q 4Q		2014 FY 2015 2 3Q 4Q 1Q 2Q 3Q 4Q Platform Concept Advanced D	1 1 1	FY 2017	FY 2018
			tification Tools/Engineering an			
			High Speed Ships and Craft I			
			Embedded Interope	erability Engineerin	eg	

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 15 of 16

Exhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2014 Navy

DATE: April 2013

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT

1319: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced 3161: NAVSEA Tech Authority

BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)

Design

Schedule Details

	Sta	art	Er	nd
Events by Sub Project	Quarter	Year	Quarter	Year
Proj 3161				
Platform Concept Advanced Development	1	2012	4	2018
Platform Design and Certification Tools/Engineering and Tech Data Exchange Development	1	2012	4	2018
Ship Systems Engineering/Modular Ship Systems Development	1	2012	4	2018
High Speed Ships and Craft Engineering	1	2012	4	2018
Alternative Power Systems Engineering	1	2012	4	2018
Embedded Interoperability Engineering	1	2013	4	2018
Mission Capability Systems Engineering	1	2012	4	2018

PE 0603563N: Ship Concept Advanced Design Navy

UNCLASSIFIED
Page 16 of 16